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## INTRODUCTION

The Hand Phone Users Survey, 2011 is the 8th in the series of surveys on hand phone users conducted by the Malaysian Communications and Multimedia Commission (SKMM).

The main objective of this survey is to estimate proportions of hand phone users which fall into the various classes of categorization schemes of key variables.

These proportions and the population estimates at reference date provided by the Department of Statistics, Malaysia may be used to estimate common ' per 100 inhabitants ' ratios.


The survey probed demographic and socioeconomic aspects of the hand phone users such as:

- nationality;
- usual state of residence;
- ethnicity;
- gender;
- age; and
- monthly personal income.

The survey also touched on the users' preferences and experiences such as:

- multiple hand phone subscriptions;
- main consideration when purchasing hand phones;
- calling versus texting.

Also in view of the fact that mobile Internet is fast gaining popularity the survey included questions on:

- use of smart phones;
- activity on the Internet via hand phones;
- importance of the ability to access the Internet on hand phones;
- intensity of use of Internet on hand phones; and
- understanding of "per kb" Internet access charges.


## TARGET POPULATION

The target population includes all main users of hand phones on all digital platforms in the states and territories making up Malaysia. These are the main users of the $011,012,013,014,016,017,018$ and 019 networks.

Both postpaid and prepaid users were covered.
As at reference date, there were $36,123,300$ hand phone subscriptions to a population of $28,477,600$.

## REFERENCE DATE

The reference date of the survey was 31 March 2011.

## METHODOLOGY

The sample size was determined as 2,401 units ( $a=0.05$ and $d=0.02$ ). This means that the survey produced estimates with $95 \%$ confidence and an accuracy within $2 \%$ either way.

There was only one stage of sample selection as the survey adopted a simple random sample (SRS) approach. Meaningful stratification was not possible because a suitable variable for stratification was not available. Sampling was done across networks with probability proportional to size of the networks in terms of subscriptions.

## METHOD OF ADMINISTERING THE INSTRUMENT

The survey was canvassed using a Computer Assisted Telephone Interview (CATI) system operating out of SKMM CATI Centre in Kuala Lumpur. Trained interviewers call up main users of selected hand phone numbers to seek their co-operation. Answers given to pre-coded questions were clicked in, while open ended answers were typed in.

Calls were made from 9.00 am to 4.45 pm daily including weekends except on Selangor/Wilayah Persekutuan Kuala Lumpur public holidays.

## PERSONAL DATA CONFIDENTIALITY SAFEGUARDS

The question on location of usual state of residence required only street name and/or housing estate; no house or lot number was required.

All interviewers had to sign a confidentiality statement before they started work.

## Main Findings





## DEMOGRAPHICS AND SOCIOECONOMICS

## NATIONALITY

$89.6 \%$ of those interviewed were Malaysian while the remaining 10.4\% were non-Malaysian. Non-Malaysian users were 1.7 percentage points below that of the previous year.


## USUAL STATE OF RESIDENCE

The usual state of residence of respondents has been tracked since inception of the survey. The usual state of residence is defined as the state in which the respondent has stayed in the past six months or expected to stay for at least six months from reference date. This allows estimates for state penetration rates to be made.

Selangor tops the list as the state with the most hand phone users followed by Johor and W.P Kuala Lumpur with double digit percentages of 20.7, 11.7 and 11.0 respectively. Next, Perak tied with Sabah at $8.2 \%$ while Sarawak, Kedah, Pulau Pinang ranged in descending order from $6.6 \%$ to $5.5 \%$.

The remaining states achieved percentages below $5 \%$ each. The table below gives the complete distribution by state.

| State | Percentage of hand phone users |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 |
| Johor | 13.9 | 13.8 | 12.6 | 11.3 | 11.7 |
| Kedah | 6.6 | 6.3 | 6.5 | 7.0 | 6.4 |
| Kelantan | 4.1 | 4.6 | 5.1 | 4.2 | 4.8 |
| Melaka | 3.1 | 3.2 | 3.3 | 3.0 | 3.9 |
| Negeri Sembilan | 4.0 | 3.8 | 4.1 | 4.6 | 4.5 |
| Pahang | 5.1 | 5.4 | 4.9 | 4.7 | 3.9 |
| Perak | 7.8 | 8.3 | 8.9 | 8.0 | 8.2 |
| Perlis | 0.5 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 0.7 | 0.8 |
| Pulau Pinang | 6.8 | 6.4 | 6.2 | 6.1 | 5.5 |
| Selangor* | 21.6 | 20.0 | 19.1 | 21.4 | 20.7 |
| Terengganu | 3.6 | 2.8 | 3.3 | 3.4 | 3.7 |
| Sabah ** | 7.1 | 7.7 | 9.0 | 9.2 | 8.2 |
| Sarawak | 6.0 | 6.6 | 6.5 | 5.6 | 6.6 |
| W. P. Kuala Lumpur | 9.6 | 10.2 | 9.5 | 10.8 | 11.0 |

Notes: *Includes W.P. Putrajaya
** Includes W.P. Labuan
Figures may not add up to 100 because of rounding.
The distribution of hand phone users by state has always shown a consistent pattern over the years. This is illustrated by the graph below which shows the relative magnitudes that showed up year after year.


## ETHNICITY

The Malays formed the largest group of users with a percentage of 61.9 followed by the Chinese, Bumiputra Sabah \& Sarawak, Indian, and Orang Asli communities with 20.6, 9.0, 7.1 and 0.2 percent respectively. Other ethnicities took up the remaining $1.2 \%$.


| Ethnicity | Percentage of hand phone users |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 |
| Malay | 61.1 | 60.6 | 63.1 | 60.8 | 61.9 |
| Bumiputra (Sabah/Sarawak) | 5.8 | 8.1 | 9.2 | 8.2 | 9.0 |
| Orang Asli |  |  |  | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Chinese | 25.8 | 23.7 | 20.9 | 23.0 | 20.6 |
| Indian | 6.6 | 6.4 | 6.1 | 6.3 | 7.1 |
| Others | 0.7 | 1.1 | 0.5 | 1.6 | 1.2 |

## GENDER

The survey found that $55.2 \%$ of the respondents were males while $44.8 \%$ were females. The ratio of males against females stand at 1.2 compared to 1.4 a year ago.



## AGE

Survey findings confirmed that the mainstay of the subscriber base were young adults in the ' $20-24$ years old' age group. This group accounted for $17.6 \%$ of respondents. The second largest group was the ' $25-29$ years old' age group which accounted for $16.5 \%$. This was followed by the ' $30-34$ years old' and the ' 50 and above' age groups both of which chalked up 13.4 percent each. It is to be noted that the latter group is an open ended age group; thus a surge in this end of the age spectrum is to be expected.

At the other side of the age spectrum $1.9 \%$ of respondents were observed in the 'Below 15 years old' age group while $10.4 \%$ were observed in the ' $15-19$ years old' age group. The complete distribution is given below.

All ages are in completed years.


| Age | Percentage of hand phone users |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 |
| Below 15 | 2.4 | 2.8 | 2.3 | 3.4 | 1.9 |
| 15-19 | 12.1 | 12.3 | 12.4 | 10.9 | 10.4 |
| $20-24$ | 19.9 | 18.8 | 20.0 | 17.3 | 17.6 |
| $25-29$ | 17.1 | 15.8 | 15.9 | 15.9 | 16.5 |
| 30-34 | 14.4 | 13.3 | 14.2 | 13.5 | 13.4 |
| $35-39$ | 9.1 | 9.4 | 9.3 | 10.1 | 9.8 |
| $40-44$ | 8.7 | 9.9 | 8.1 | 9.2 | 10.3 |
| $45-49$ | 5.7 | 6.3 | 5.9 | 6.5 | 6.6 |
| Above 50 | 10.5 | 11.3 | 11.8 | 13.3 | 13.4 |

## MONTHLY PERSONAL INCOME

Perhaps, in a reflection of who used and who paid, the survey indicated that $32.1 \%$ of respondents were dependents with no recurrent income. This group includes users who are full time students, the young job market entrants, housewives and retirees. As can be seen from the age distribution table on page 12 those still in school as well as young job market entrants (first 3 age groups) already account for $30 \%$. $23.2 \%$ earned monthly incomes below RM1,000 while $34.3 \%$ earned between RM1,000 to RM3,000. 6.7\% earned between RM3,000 to RM5,000 and a small $3.7 \%$ have incomes in excess of RM 5,000.



All amounts include the upper boundary value

| Monthly income | Percentage of hand phone users |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | 2011 |
| Dependents | 31.0 | 35.3 |  | 30.3 | 32.1 |
| Below RM 1000 | 29.9 | 26.2 | 61.7 | 24.8 | 23.2 |
| RM 1000 - RM 3000 | 30.8 | 30.3 | 31.4 | 35.2 | 34.3 |
| RM 3000 - RM 5000 | 5.3 | 5.5 | 4.5 | 6.1 | 6.7 |
| More than RM 5000 | 3.0 | 2.7 | 2.4 | 3.6 | 3.7 |



## USER PREFERENCES AND EXPERIENCES

The second part of the survey probed the current disposition of users towards hand phone usage and also their preferences and experiences.

## MULTIPLE HAND PHONE SUBSCRIPTIONS

Most users had just one hand phone (71.3\%). However there were users who held two (25.2\%) or even more than two (3.5\%). Likely reasons included the need to separate personal from official/business calls as well as coverage issues. The latter was particularly relevant to those who travel on the job.

Generally over the years 2007 to 2011 the proportion having two hand phones has inched up, while the need to have more than two has declined.

| Number of hand phones | Percentage of hand phone users |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007 | 2008 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | 2010 | 2011 |
| 1 | 81.7 | 77.0 | 76.2 | 71.5 | 71.3 |
| 2 | 15.9 | 18.7 | 20.6 | 23.9 | 25.2 |
| 3 | 1.7 | 2.7 | 2.5 | 3.0 | 2.9 |
| 4 | 0.5 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 0.9 | 0.2 |
| 5 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 0.4 |
| more than 5 | - | 0.4 | 0.1 | - | - |

For the purpose of the survey, a hand phone is synonymous with a SIM card.


## WHAT SELLS HAND PHONES

For most respondents, price trumped all other considerations at $76.6 \%$. Next came the brand ( $60.4 \%$ ) and whether it has a camera ( $59.1 \%$ ). In the Internet age, it might be expected that an Internet capability might be rated highly. However this was important to only 39.1 percent of users. 36.9 percent simply must have the latest models, while 31.9 percent looked for phones that are bundled with services.


## CALLING VERSUS TEXTING

Most users, who accounted for $45.4 \%$, would rather call while $28.7 \%$ preferred to text. To some $25.9 \%$, either way was fine.

## Tex

 28.7\%

A closer look indicated that those below 32 years of age tend to text while those above that age prefer to call. However, this is not mutually exclusive.

## AWARENESS OF MOBILE TV

Results showed that $32.7 \%$ are aware of mobile TV while the rest are not.


## USE OF SMART PHONE



It is commonly held that smart phones are not only here to stay but will increase its market share in the short term future. The survey found that $12 \%$ of those interviewed are already on smart phones. The corresponding figure for 2010 was $14 \%$. This does not mean a decline in smart phone usage as this difference is within the $+/-2 \%$ of the survey.

| Use of Smartphone | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2010 | 14.0 |
| 2011 | 12.0 |

Users consciously chose smart phones because these phones allowed comfortable access to online services (70.1\%), social networking (51\%) and chatting (35.8\%). Other reasons included a perceived trendiness (34.0\%) and a sense of status (18.8\%).


## ACTIVITY ON THE INTERNET VIA HAND PHONE

The survey found that 14.7 percent of users accessed the Internet through their hand phones (not using Wifi).

Among those who accessed the Internet through their hand phones, $74.4 \%$ do social networking, 48.0\% listened to music, $45.7 \%$ go for downloading, $41.8 \%$ chatting and $39.8 \%$ games. Mobile applications, videos, blogging, and others accounted for $36.1 \%, 35.2 \%, 19.0 \%$ and $13.4 \%$ respectively.



Among those who did so, accessing the Internet on their devices has gained importance. $25.3 \%$ rated the ability as very important, $53.1 \%$ put it as quite important while $21.6 \%$ said that it is not so important.



The reckoning of importance was left to users who did the survey. Importance however may or may not be related to intensity of usage. In term of usage, $35.8 \%$ of respondents say they accessed the Internet on their hand phones for less than 1 hour per day; $22.4 \%$ used around $1-3$ hours per day; followed by $14.2 \%$, more than 3 hours per day; and $27.6 \%$, not daily but few hours in a week.


Users are spoilt for choice in terms of data plans. However, most would choose a monthly Internet plan ( $29.3 \%$ ), followed by daily plan ( $22.2 \%$ ), and weekly plans ( $10.2 \%$ ). $19.3 \%$ preferred to pay per use while $19.0 \%$ wanted charges billed.

## UNDERSTANDING INTERNET SPEND



Preference for one plan or the other may be tied down to usage pattern as well as affordability. 48.9\% reported that they spent below RM30 per month on the Internet. 19.0\% spent between RM30 and RM60, followed by $19.3 \%$ between RM60 and RM100, $2.3 \%$ between RM100 and RM130, $6.8 \%$ spent RM 130 and above. $3.7 \%$ said that they did not know how much they spent on their Internet per month.

For those who accessed the Internet through the hand phone, $39.5 \%$ understand how access charges are calculated based on a kilo byte basis.


When deciding on an Internet access service to subscribe to, $81.0 \%$ rated speed as the main criterion. However, this is not the only consideration. $74.1 \%$ were particular about coverage, and $73.0 \%$ about network stability. Price was mentioned by $69.9 \%$ of respondents, ease of subscription by $69.0 \%$, availability of prepaid / postpaid, 61.9\%.

Branding also entered the picture for $49.1 \%$. The more informed among users also looked at volume capacities. They account for $45.7 \%$ of respondents.



## Tables



## TABLES

Caution is required in the use of the estimates tabulated below.
While the SKMM takes every care to minimize non-sampling errors, which cannot be quantified, the estimates presented are also subject to sampling error, which is a measure of the chance variation that occurs because a sample, and not the entire population is canvassed. The sampling error of an estimate is usually expressed as a percentage of that estimate to give the relative sampling error (RSE) of that estimate.

In general, estimates that are small are subject to high RSEs. As a guide, only estimates with RSEs of 25 percent or less are considered reliable for general use. Estimates with RSEs greater than 25 percent but less than or equal to 50 percent are denoted with an asterisk in these tables and should be used with caution; while estimates with RSEs greater than 50 percent are denoted by two asterisks and are considered too unreliable for general use. However, these estimates may be aggregated with others until an RSE of less than 25 percent is obtained.

Confidence intervals for very small estimates should be based on the binomial distribution rather than the normal approximation to the binomial. As an alternative, the method of Korn and Graubard, 1998 may also be used.

Percentages may not add up to 100 because of rounding.

Table 1

## Nationality

| Percent |  |  |  |  |  |  | RSE |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Malaysian | 89.6 | 0.7 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-Malaysian | 10.4 | 6.0 |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 2
Usual state of residence

|  | Percent | RSE |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Johor | 11.7 | 5.6 |
| Kedah | 6.4 | 7.8 |
| Kelantan | 4.8 | 9.0 |
| Melaka | 3.9 | 10.1 |
| Negeri Sembilan | 4.5 | 9.4 |
| Pahang | 3.9 | 10.1 |
| Perak | 8.2 | 6.8 |
| Perlis | 0.8 | 22.2 |
| Pulau Pinang | 5.5 | 8.4 |
| Selangor* | 20.7 | 4.0 |
| Terengganu | 3.7 | 10.4 |
| Sabah** | 8.2 | 6.9 |
| Sarawak | 6.6 | 7.6 |
| W.P. Kuala Lumpur | 11.0 | 5.8 |

Notes: $\quad$ * Includes W.P. Putrajaya
** Includes W.P. Labuan

Table 3
Ethnicity

|  | Percent | RSE |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Malay | 61.9 | 1.7 |
| Bumiputra Sabah \& Sarawak | 9.0 | 6.8 |
| Orang Asli | $0.2^{*}$ | 50.0 |
| Chinese | 20.6 | 4.2 |
| Indian | 7.1 | 7.8 |
| Others | 1.2 | 19.9 |

Table 4

## Gender

|  | Percent | RSE |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Male | 55.2 | 1.8 |
| Female | 44.8 | 2.3 |

Table 5
Age

|  | Percent | RSE |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Below 15 | 1.9 | 14.6 |
| $15-19$ | 10.4 | 6.0 |
| $20-24$ | 17.6 | 4.4 |
| $25-29$ | 16.5 | 4.6 |
| $30-34$ | 13.4 | 5.2 |
| $35-39$ | 9.8 | 6.2 |
| $40-44$ | 10.3 | 6.0 |
| $45-49$ | 6.6 | 7.7 |
| Above 50 | 13.4 | 5.2 |

Table 6
Monthly income category

|  | Percent | RSE |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Dependents | 32.1 | 3.0 |
| <RM1000 | 23.2 | 3.8 |
| RM1000-RM3000 | 34.3 | 2.9 |
| RM3000-RM5000 | 6.7 | 7.7 |
| >RM5000 | 3.7 | 10.5 |

Table 7
Multiple hand phone ownership

| Percent | RSE |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 71.3 | 1.3 |
| 2 | 25.2 | 3.5 |
| 3 | 2.9 | 11.9 |
| 4 | $0.2^{*}$ | 40.8 |
| 5 | $0.4^{*}$ | 31.6 |

Table 8
Type of hand phone

|  | Percent | RSE |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Feature phone | 87.3 | 0.8 |
| Smart phone | 12.0 | 5.5 |
| Don't Know | 0.7 | 23.5 |

Table 9
Why Smartphone?

|  | Percent | RSE |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Status | 18.8 | 12.3 |
| Trendiness | 34.0 | 8.2 |
| Online services | 70.1 | 3.8 |
| Social Networking | 51.0 | 5.8 |
| Chatting | 35.8 | 7.9 |
| Others | 34.4 | 8.1 |

Multiple response
Table 10
Accessed Internet through hand phone

|  | Percent | RSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | 14.7 | 4.9 |
| No | 85.3 | 0.8 |

Table 11
Activity on the Internet via hand phone

|  | Percent | RSE |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Chatting | 41.8 | 6.3 |
| Social Networking | 74.4 | 3.1 |
| Blogging | 19.0 | 11.0 |
| Mobile Apps | 36.1 | 7.1 |
| Downloading | 45.7 | 5.8 |
| Games | 39.8 | 6.6 |
| Music | 48.0 | 5.5 |
| Videos | 35.2 | 7.2 |
| Others | 13.4 | 13.6 |
| Multiple response |  |  |

Table 12
Importance of Internet on hand phone

|  | Percent | RSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Very Important | 25.3 | 9.2 |
| Quite Important | 53.1 | 5.0 |
| Not so important | 21.6 | 10.2 |

Table 13
Intensity of use of Internet via hand phone

|  | Percent | RSE |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Less than 1 hour per day | 35.8 | 7.1 |
| Around 1-3 hours per day | 22.4 | 9.9 |
| More than 3 hours per day | 14.2 | 13.1 |
| Not daily but few hours in a week | 27.6 | 8.6 |

Table 14
Internet plans on hand phone

|  | Percent | RSE |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Pay as you use | 19.3 | 10.9 |
| Daily Internet plan | 22.2 | 10.0 |
| Weekly Internet plan | 10.2 | 15.8 |
| Monthly Internet plan | 29.3 | 8.3 |
| Postpaid data plan | 19.0 | 11.0 |

Table 15
Understanding of Internet access charges based on KB

|  | Percent |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| RSE |  |  |
| Understand | 39.5 | 6.6 |
| Do not understand | 60.5 | 4.3 |

Table 16
Internet spend on hand phone per month

|  | Percent | RSE |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Below RM30 | 48.9 | 5.5 |
| RM30<RM60 | 19.0 | 11.0 |
| RM60<RM100 | 19.3 | 10.9 |
| RM100<RM130 | $2.3^{*}$ | 35.0 |
| RM130 and Above | 6.8 | 19.7 |
| Don't Know | $3.7^{*}$ | 27.2 |

Table 17
Main considerations when subscribing to Internet on hand phone

|  | Percent |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Price | 69.9 | 3.5 |
| Volume / Quota | 45.7 | 5.8 |
| Network / Connection stability | 73.0 | 3.2 |
| Coverage | 74.1 | 3.1 |
| Branding | 49.1 | 5.4 |
| Internet Speed | 81.0 | 2.6 |
| Ease of subscription | 69.0 | 3.6 |
| Prepaid and Postpaid options | 61.9 | 4.2 |
| Others | $4.0^{*}$ | 26.2 |

Multiple response

Table 18
Main considerations when buying a hand phone

|  | Percent | RSE |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Price of the hand phone | 76.6 | 1.1 |
| Internet capability | 39.1 | 2.5 |
| Brand of the hand phone | 60.4 | 1.7 |
| Bundled plans from operators | 31.9 | 3.0 |
| Latest phone | 36.9 | 2.7 |
| Camera | 59.1 | 1.7 |
| Others | 22.1 | 3.8 |

Multiple response

Table 19
Text or call?

|  | Percent | RSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Text | 28.7 | 3.2 |
| Calls | 45.4 | 2.2 |
| Both | 25.9 | 3.5 |

Table 20
Awareness of mobile TV

|  |  | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Aware | 32.7 | 2.9 |
| Not Aware | 67.3 | 1.4 |

# For More Statistics 




## FOR MORE STATISTICS

| WEBSITE | The SKMM website contains extracts of statistics pertaining to the Communications \& Multimedia and Postal \& Courier industries. These are updated every quarter/half year. <br> The SKMM website is at www.skmm.gov.my |
| :---: | :---: |
| STATISTICAL BULLETIN | The SKMM publishes the following publications : <br> 1. Communications \& Multimedia: Pocket Book of Statistics (ISSN:2180-4656), a quarterly bulletin of the Communications \& Multimedia industry. <br> 2. Postal \& Courier Services: Pocket Book of Statistics (ISSN: 2231-9913) a half yearly bulletin of the Postal \& Courier industry. <br> Please contact the SKMM for more details or email statistics@cmc.gov.my |
| STATISTICAL BRIEF | The Statistical Briefs series (ISSN:1823-2523) is issued by the SKMM to disseminate survey findings and statistical updates. These briefs are aimed at the general to intermediate user audience. <br> Titles in this series so far: <br> Statistical Brief Number One - Hand Phone Users Survey 2004 <br> Statistical Brief Number Two - Household Use of the Internet Survey 2005 <br> Statistical Brief Number Three - Hand Phone Users Survey 2005 <br> Statistical Brief Number Four - Hand Phone Users Survey 2006 <br> Statistical Brief Number Five - Household Use Of Internet Survey 2006 <br> Statistical Brief Number Six - Hand Phone Users Survey 2007 <br> Statistical Brief Number Seven - Household Use of Internet Survey 2008 <br> Statistical Brief Number Eight - Hand Phone Users Survey 2008 <br> Statistical Brief Number Nine - Hand Phone Users Survey 2009 <br> Statistical Brief Number Ten - Household Use of Internet Survey 2009 <br> Statistical Brief Number Eleven - Hand Phone Users Survey 2010 <br> Statistical Brief Number Twelve - Hand Phone Users Survey 2011 <br> Statistical Brief Number Thirteen - Household Use of Internet Survey 2011 |
| SURVEY TEAM |  |
| ACTING SENIOR DIRECTOR <br> STATISTICIANS | Koay Hock Eng <br> Siti Shadiah Zainol Abidin Syuhada Asri |
| TO CONTACT ... |  |
| Please contact the Statistics Department of SKMM if you have any queries regarding the statistics published in this report through the email address: <br> statistics@cmc.gov.my |  |
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